

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

----- x

In Re: Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether ("MTBE")
Products Liability Litigation

Master File No. 1:00-1898
MDL 1358 (SAS)
M21-88
ECF Case

----- x

This document relates to the following case:

City of New York v. Amerada Hess Corp., et al.
Case No. 04 Civ. 3417

----- x

**REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF CITY OF NEW
YORK'S MOTION *IN LIMINE* NO. 5 TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE OR ARGUMENT
THAT MTBE DOES NOT REQUIRE REMEDIATION AT LEVELS ABOVE THE MCL**

Plaintiff the City of New York ("Plaintiff") hereby requests that the Court take judicial notice pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 201 of the federal and New York State legislative and regulatory materials listed below as Exhibits in support of its Motion *In Limine* No. 5 to Exclude Evidence or Argument that MTBE Does Not Require Remediation at Levels Above the MCL.

In accordance with this Court's Individual Rules and Procedures, the exhibits have been excerpted to include only the relevant material. True and correct copies of the following legislative and regulatory materials attached hereto were made by me or at my direction on or about May 9, 2009:

1. Attached as Exhibit 1 is a true and correct copy of 10 N.Y. Comp.Codes R. & Regs. § 5-1.1. Exhibit 1 was downloaded from Westlaw.
2. Attached as Exhibit 2 is a true and correct copy of an excerpt of 10 N.Y. Comp.Codes R. & Regs. § 5-1.52. Exhibit 2 was downloaded from Westlaw.

3. Attached as Exhibit 3 is a true and correct copy of 10 N.Y. Comp.Codes R. & Regs. § 5-1.71. Exhibit 3 was downloaded from Westlaw.

4. Attached as Exhibit 4 is a true and correct copy of 10 N.Y. Comp.Codes R. & Regs. § 5-1.72. Exhibit 4 was downloaded from Westlaw.

5. Attached as Exhibit 5 is a true and correct copy of 10 N.Y. Comp.Codes R. & Regs. § 5-1.51. Exhibit 5 was downloaded from Westlaw.

6. Attached as Exhibit 6 is a true and correct copy of 10 N.Y. Comp.Codes R. & Regs. § 76.8. Exhibit 6 was downloaded from Westlaw.

7. Attached as Exhibit 7 is a true and correct copy of New York Public Health Law § 12 . Exhibit 7 was downloaded from Westlaw.

8. Attached as Exhibit 8 is a true and correct copy of 10 N.Y. Comp.Codes R. & Regs. § 5-1.12. Exhibit 8 was downloaded from Westlaw.

9. Attached as Exhibit 9 is a true and correct copy of New York Public Health Law § 12-b. Exhibit 9 was downloaded from Westlaw.

10. Attached as Exhibit 10 is a true and correct copy of New York Public Health Law § 229. Exhibit 10 was downloaded from Westlaw.

11. Attached as Exhibit 11 is a true and correct copy of New York Public Health Law § 1103. Exhibit 11 was downloaded from Westlaw.

Federal Rule of Evidence 201 provides that a court shall take judicial notice of facts that are “not subject to reasonable dispute” in that they are “capable of accurate and ready determination by resort to sources whose accuracy cannot reasonably be questioned” if requested to do so by a party and supplied with the necessary information. Fed. R. Evid. 201. Exhibits 1-6 are relevant and are capable of accurate and ready determination by resort to sources whose

accuracy cannot be reasonably questioned. Fed. R. Evid. § 201(b). Federal courts routinely take judicial notice of government regulations and actions of administrative agencies, *see, e.g., Roemer v. Bd. of Pub. Works*, 426 U.S. 736, 742 n. 4, (1976) (state regulations); *Goldstein v. Pataki*, 516 F.3d 50, 60 n. 7 (2d Cir. 2008) (state board resolution), and of government publications, *see, e.g., Oregon Natural Desert Ass'n v. Bureau of Land Management*, 531 F.3d 1114, 1134 n. 14 (9th Cir. 2008) (BLM's planning handbook) and public statutes, *see, e.g., Demos v. City of Indianapolis*, 302 F.3d 698, 706 (7th Cir. 2002) (district court properly took notice of state statutes, city charters and other materials).

For the foregoing reasons, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court take judicial notice of the federal and state regulatory materials attached hereto as Exhibits 1-11.

Dated: San Francisco, California
May 11, 2009

MICHAEL A. CARDOZO
Corporation Counsel of the City of New York
Attorney for Plaintiff City of New York
100 Church Street
New York, New York 10007
(212) 788-1568

/s/ MARNIE E. RIDDLE
VICTOR M. SHER (*pro hac vice*)
TODD E. ROBINS (*pro hac vice*)
JOSHUA G. STEIN (*pro hac vice*)
LESLEY E. WILLIAMS (LW8392)
NICHOLAS G. CAMPINS (*pro hac vice*)
MARNIE E. RIDDLE (*pro hac vice*)

SHER LEFF LLP
450 Mission Street, Suite 400
San Francisco, CA 94105
(415) 348-8300

Attorneys for Plaintiff City of New York